On the Nature of the High-spin $({}^{6}A_1) \rightleftharpoons$ Low-spin $({}^{2}T_2)$ Transition in $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]Y Complexes$

MADAN MOHAN, NIRANJAN S. GUPTA, LAKHAMI CHANDRA

Department of Chemistry, N.R.E.C. College, Khurja-203 131 (U.P.), India

NARENDRA K. JHA

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Hauz Khas, New Delhi-II0 016, India

and RADHEY S. PRASAD

Department of Chemistry, St. Columba's College, Hazati Bag-825 301, Bihar, India

(Received March 31, 1987)

Abstract

The spin-crossover phenomenon between the lowspin $(S = 1/2, {}^{2}T_{2})$ and high-spin $(S = 5/2, {}^{6}A_{1})$ states depending on temperature is examined for two complexes of the composition $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]Y$, where 3-CH₃OSPH is the monoanionic Schiff base N20 ligand derived from the condensation of 3 methoxysalicylaldehyde and 2_pyridylhydrazine, and \mathcal{U} is either PF \bar{F} , and \bar{F} Variable temperature $\frac{m}{n}$ magnetic susceptibility, $\frac{m}{n}$, $\frac{m}{n}$, magnetic susceptibility, EPR, Mössbauer, and electronic data are analysed for these two complexes. Both are seen to undergo gradual but complete spincrossover transformation from high-spin to low-spin in the solid state. The relaxation time of the change from the high-spin to the low-spin state (and vice versa) in $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]PF₆$ is slower than the $T_{\rm E}$ M_{inchense} time scale, while $\Gamma_{\rm E}(3)$ OH $\Omega_{\rm DPL}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ shows a single scale, while $\frac{1}{2}$ equation in the selection BPh_4 shows a single quadrupole-split doublet in the Mössbauer spectrum from 80 to 298 K. The results are interpreted in terms of a model in which the spininterchange crossing is treated as an intramolecular mechanism, internal electron-transfer reaction. EPR $\frac{1}{2}$ spectra show that the $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ sector than the $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ series has $\frac{1}{2}$ one under the $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$

Introduction

Recently, much interest has been shown in the solid-state spin-crossover transformations which provide a means for interesting international contramovide a means for myestigating just now an interis coupled to the intermolecular interactions inherent $\frac{1}{2}$ to the memorial metachons interesting ϵ in some state. In the ferric fort, the spin-erossover $\frac{1}{2}$ electrons in the tail in the tail $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ recent research in the tail in th electrons in the t_{2g} and e_g orbitals. Recent research in the area $[1-4]$ of spin-crossover chemistry has focused to a greater extent on understanding the

factors that affect the thermodynamics at the spinactors that affect the thermodynamics at the spincrossover transformation and to a lesser extent on the means by which intermolecular interactions inhibit or heans by which interfifolded a interactions minute of mance me conversions.
The spin-state interconversion rates for spin-

crossover solids have typically been estimated relative to the time scales associated with various spectroscopic techniques (for Mössbauer $\tau \sim 10^7$ s⁻¹ and for EPPR contributes (for mossibality $\ell = 10$ s-and for μ and μ is μ , monothing μ and dicarbamates [5], monothiocarbamates [6] and diselenocarbamates [7] were known to interconvert rapidly relative to the Mössbauer time scale. Maeda et al. [8-11] and Hendrickson et al. [12-15] have recently reported a number of new iron(III) compresently reported a number of new fion(iii) com- $\frac{1}{1}$ with $\frac{1}{4}$ under $\frac{1}{4}$ under $\frac{1}{4}$ under the theory of the which undergo rapid spin-state interconversion on the
Mössbauer time scale and slow interconversion on the EPR time scale. The spin-state interconversion rates In the state. The spin-state interconversion rates $\frac{1}{2}$ in the set remember of structural model mod changes. Maeda *et al.* [ll] have established that nanges. maeua et *ut.* [11] have established that counter ion and ligand substituent changes can dramatically alter the nature of the Mössbauer spectra. However, the exact origins of these effects are unknown.

In the light of Maeda's report $[11]$ of the sensitivity of the spin-state interconversion rates to the counter of the spin-state interconversion rates to the σ unterion and ngang-substituent changes, we report, μ in paper, the results of spectroscopic and mag- $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$

Experimental

Preparation of Compounds

analysis of compounds
Were carely were carried out at the carried out at the carried out at the carried out at the carried out at th An cichichtal analyses were called but at the

Institute $(C.D.R.I.)$, Lucknow-226 001. $(U.P.)$. The ligands used in this study were the Schiff base condensation products of 2-pyridylhydrazine with 3 methoxysalicylaldehyde which were obtained as commercially available products from Aldrich Chemical Co. The ligand obtained is abbreviated as

$[Fe/3-CH₃OSPH]$, $|Cl$

3-CH₂OSPH.

The Schiff base ligand (3-CH₃OSPH) was prepared by adding a solution of 2-pyridylhydrazine (1.09 g, 10 mmol) in 20 ml of methanol to a solution of 3-methoxysalicylaldehyde (1.52 g, 10 mmol) in 20 ml of methanol. The solution mixture was heated on a steam bath until it turned yellow. Sodium hydroxide (0.4 g) dissolved in 10 ml of $H₂O$ was then added to this solution and was stirred for a further 15 min. To this solution was slowly added FeCl, (0.81 g, 5 mmol) in 15 ml of methanol. Addition of the ferric salt solution led to the immediate precipitation of black microcrystals, which were isolated by filtration, washed with methanol and ether, and then dried *in vacuo* over P_2O_5 to give 90% of the product. Anal. Calc. for $FeC_{26}H_{24}N_6O_4Cl$: C, 54.23; H, 4.17; N, 14.60; Fe, 9.69. Found: C, 53.80; H, 4.42; N, 14.55; Fe, 9.80%.

$[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]/NO₃$

The yellow Schiff base solution was formed by heating on a steam bath a solution of 3-methoxysalicylaldehyde (1.52 g, 10 mmol) in 20 ml of methanol with a solution of 2-pyridylhydrazine (1.09 g, 10 mmol) in *20* ml of methanol for 20 min. Sodium hydroxide (0.4 g) dissolved in 10 ml of $H₂O$ was added to the solution and stirred for an additional 15 min. To this solution was slowly added Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (2.0 g, 5 mmol) dissolved in 15 ml of methanol, producing a colour change from yellow to blue-violet. After overnight refrigeration, the reaction mixture afforded black microcrystals, which were isolated by filtration, washed with methanol and ether, and dried *in vacuo* over P_2O_5 to give 90% of the product. *Anal.* Calc. for $\text{FeC}_{26}H_{24}N_6O_7$: C, 51.84; H, 3.98: N, 16.28; Fe,9.27. Found: C, 51.21; H, 4.12; N, 16.31, Fe, 9.40%.

/Fe(3-CH,0SPH)2 JPF,

The yellow Schiff base solution, consisting of 3 methoxysalicylaldehyde (1.52 g, 10 mmol) and 2-pyridylhydrazine (1.09 g, 10 mmol) in 25 ml of methanol, was prepared as above and combined with 10 ml of a methanolic solution of NH_4PF_6 (1.6 g, 10 mmol). To the resulting mixture was introduced a solution of $Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O$ (2.0 g, 5 mmol) in 15 ml of methanol as several small aliquots with constant stirring. The dark purple microcrystals that formed within a minute were isolated by filtration, washed with methanol and ether, and dried over P_2O_5 *in*

vacua to give 72% of the product. *Anal.* Calc. for $FeC_{26}H_{24}N_6O_4PF_6$: C, 45.56; H, 3.50; N, 12.26; Fe, 8.15. Found: C, 45.02; H, 3.72; N, 12.02; Fe, 8.21%.

 $[Fe/3\text{-}CH_3OSPH)_2/BPh_4$
This product was prepared by the metathesis of the NO_3 ⁻ salt with NaBPh₄ in methanol. The dark purple microcrystalline solid was dried *in vacua* over P_2O_5 . *Anal.* Calc. for $FeC_{50}H_{44}N_6O_4B$: C, 69.88; H, 5.12; N, 9.78; Fe, 6.49. Found: C, 69.34; H, 5.37; N, 9.42; Fe, 6.58%.

Magnetic Susceptibilities

Variable temperature magnetic susceptibilities were determined on a vibrating-sample magnetometer. The magnetometer was calibrated with $CuSO₄$. $5H₂O$, and a calibrated Ga As diode was used for sample temperature determination and control. For all data diamagnetic corrections, estimated from Pascal's constants [16], were used in the calculation of molar paramagnetic susceptibilities.

EPR *Spectra*

Electron paramagnetic resonance data (x-band) were obtained on a Varian E-4 spectrometer, using DPPH as a reference material. Variable temperatures $(300-130)$ K) were obtained with the use of a gasflow cavity insert and a Varian V-4540 temperature controller. Temperatures were determined before and after each spectrum with a copper-constantan thermocouple, and the temperature accuracy was estimated to be ± 5 K. A direct immersion dewar, which was inserted into the cavity, was used to obtain spectral data at 78 K.

Md'ssbauer Spectra

Mössbauer spectral data were collected on polycrystalline samples by using a constant-acceleration spectrometer which was calibrated with natural α -iron foil. The source was $57Co(Cu)$ and was maintained at room temperature for all experiments. A copperconstantan thermocouple, mounted on the samplecell holder, was used to monitor the sample temperature. The absolute precision is estimated to be ± 3 K. A computer program was used to fit the Mössbauer absorptions to Lorentzian line shapes.

Electronic Spectra

The electronic absorption spectra of the methanolic solution containing the samples (3×10^{-3}) mol/l) were recorded on Unicam SP 700 spectrophotometer.

Conductance Measurements

The solution conductivities of the samples in methanol $(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol/l})$ at 25 °C were measured on a Toshniwal conductivity bridge type CL Ol/Ol.

Results and Discussion

The pseudooctahedral iron(III) complexes with an N_4O_2 coordination core were prepared, using as a ligand the Schiff base condensation product of 3-methoxysalicylaldehyde with 2-pyridylhydrazine. The ligand is deprotonated to afford a monoanionic tridentate N_2O ligand. The overall reaction is:

where Y is Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, PF₆⁻ or BPh₄⁻. The monoanionic ligand is:

3- CH3OSPH

All the complexes are quite stable at room temperature and do not show any sign of decomposition after a long period of standing. All the complexes are soluble in a number of solvents of moderate-to-good coordinating ability, such as dimethylformamide, dimethylsulphoxide, methanol, ethanol, and pyridine. The molar conductance of the complexes in methanol $\epsilon \sim 10^{-3}$ M lie in the 110-117 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹ range, indicating their uni-univalent electrolytic behaviour in solution.

In the absence of a single-crystal X-ray study, it is difficult to suggest whether the tridentate 3-CH₃OSPH ligand is arranged around the iron(III) ion in a facial or meridional configuration. The iron- (III) cations present in these complexes are meridional, as pictured in Fig. 1, may be inferred from several pieces of indirect evidence. An X-ray struc-

Fig. 1. Proposed meridional *(cis* oxygen) structure for the iron(III) complexes.

tural study has been reported for several analogues of these iron(II1) complexes, where two tridentate Schiff base ligands with ethylene linkages between imine and amine nitrogen donors are present [17--191. Structural data are also available [20] for ferric complexes with hexadentate N_4O_2 ligands derived from triethylenetetramine. The single-crystal X-ray study of these analogues has invariably shown that the geometry is meridional, where the oxygen atoms on the two ligands are located *cis* relative to each other and the imino nitrogen atoms are *trans*.

Magnetic Susceptibility

Variable temperature magnetic susceptibility data in the $78-300$ K range for $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]Y$ are illustrated in Fig. 2. As is evident in Fig. 2, the compounds show gradual and nearly complete spincrossover transformations. For compound [Fe(3- $CH₃OSPH)₂$ [BPh₄ the transformation is nearly complete, whereas the compound $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]PF₆$ shows appreciable low-spin population $(\sim 45\%)$ at room temperature. It appears that the high-spin molecules in $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂] BPh₄$ are favoured due to the lattice expansions resulting from the large BPh_4 counterion [15].

Assuming μ_{eff} (high-spin) = 5.99 μ_{B} and μ_{eff} (lowspin) = 1.90 μ_B , the high- and low-spin population factions can be estimated and converted into equilibrium constant data (K_{eq}) , where K_{eq} = (fraction of high-spin)/ $(1 -$ fraction high-spin). The changes of the enthalpy (ΔH) and entropy (ΔS) for spincrossover 'reactions' can be calculated from plots of ln *Keq versus* reciprocal temperature. The compounds

Fig. 2. Effective magnetic moments vs. temperature plots for $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]PF₆ (I) (\bullet) and $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]BPh₄$$ (II) (0). Solid lines are drawn for clarity only and do not show data fittings or simulations.

yield nonlinear $\ln K_{\text{eq}}$ versus $1/T$ curves, which should not be considered as evidence for cooperativity in the spin-crossover transformation; solid-state transformations can exhibit nonlinear $\ln K_{eq}$ versus $1/T$ behaviour for a variety of circumstances [21]. The remaining compounds are essentially low-spin complexes. It appears that in the [Fe(3-CH₃- $OSPH$ ₂] Y series the Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻ counterions tend to give low-spin complexes, and the BPh₄^{$-$} and PF₆^{$-$} counterions give complexes which show spincrossover phenomenon. Such magnetic behaviour, depending on the nature of the counterion, has already been observed for the ferric X-SalEen [22], X-Salmeen [23] and 3-OEt-SalBzen [19] complexes.

Mzissbauer Spectroscopy

Variable temperature Mössbauer spectral data for $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]PF₆$ complex are illustrated in Fig. 3. The spectra between 80 and 165 K are characterized by the quadrupole splitting $\Delta E_{\text{o}} = 2.874-$ 2.834 mm/s, the values being typical for a low-spin $2T$ ground state of iron(III). At 190 K, a second weak doublet is clearly recognized, its intensity increases with increasing temperature, while that of first doublet is simultaneously decreasing, cf. spectrum at 240 K. At 260 K, the contribution of the second doublet is clearly predominant, and at 300 K, it is almost the only one present. On the basis of the Mössbauer parameters, i.e. $\Delta E_{\mathbf{Q}} = 1.02$ mm/s, $\delta =$ 0.342 mm/s at 300 K, the second doublet is assigned to the high-spin $6A$ ground state of iron(III). It is thus evident that a low-spin \Rightarrow high-spin transition is involved in the $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]PF₆ complex. The$ temperature dependence of the area fraction of a

Fig. 3. $57Fe$ Mössbauer spectra of $[Fe(3-H_3OSPH)_2]PF_6$ at various temperatures.

Fig. 4. Temperature dependence of the $ln(A(T)/A(81))$ (o) and $S(HS)$ % (\bullet) for the [Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]PF₆ complex.

high-spin species $S(HS)$ versus the total absorption area is illustrated in Fig. 4. The curve is consistent with that of the population probability of the highspin fraction calculated from the μ_{eff} values on the assumption that μ_{eff} (high-spin) = 5.99 μ_{B} and μ_{eff} (low-spin) = 1.90 $\mu_{\rm B}$. The temperature dependence of the recoil-free fractions is given by the temperature dependence of the area under the resonance curve. The Debye model approximation leads [24] to d $\ln f/\mathrm{d}T \propto \mathrm{d} \ln A/\mathrm{d}T$ in the high temperature limit. The plots of $\ln[A(T)/A(81)]$ versus T for [Fe(3- $CH₃OSPH)₂$]PF₆ complex (Fig. 4) show a discontinuity in the temperature range between 165- 190 K, indicating that some kind of phase transition occurs in this temperature range, if spin transition accompanies the intermolecular or lattice vibrational change. The appearance of two pairs of a doublet in the Mössbauer spectra means that the rate of the spincrossover between the low- and high-spin states is slow relative to the life time of the excited Mossbauer nuclear state ($\tau \approx 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$).

Variable temperature Mössbauer spectra of the $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂] BPh₄ complex are illustrated in$ Fig. 5. The spectra between 80 and 165 K are typical for the low-spin iron(II1) complexes and are characterized by $\Delta E_{\mathbf{Q}} = 2.384 - 2.352$ mm/s and $\delta = 0.237 -$ 0.326 mm/s. The spectrum at 298 K is characterized by a broad asymmetric doublet with $\Delta E_{\mathbf{Q}} = 0.892$ mm/s and $\delta = 0.398$ mm/s ascribed to a high-spin iron(II1) state. A single quadrupole-split doublet with a broad linewidth is observed in the temperature range of 165-298 K. This indicates that the relaxation time to change from low-spin state to high-spin state and vice versa is shorter than the lifetime of the excited Mossbauer nuclear state and that the nucleus observes an 'average' of the electronic states of high-

Fig. 5. Fe Mössbauer spectra of [Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]BPh₄ at various temperatures.

Fig. 6. Temperature dependence of the $\ln[A(T)/A(83)]$ parameters for [Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]BPh₄.

and low-spin states, *i.e.* the spin-state interconversion rates are more rapid than $\sim 10^7$ s⁻¹. The plot of $\ln[A(T)/A(83)]$ versus temperature for this complex is linear in the temperature range of spin transition, as illustrated in Fig. 6, suggesting that the spin interconversion observed in this complex is an intramolecular phenomenon [8, 11].

Figure 7 illustrates the variations of the δ and ΔE_{Ω} values versus temperature. The drastic change in the δ values is characteristic of a high-spin iron(III) in the temperature range between $230-298$ K and the values in the temperature range of a spin-crossover transition are intermediate between those of two spin-states and change with the population of high-

Fig. 7. Plot of Mössbauer quadrupole splitting (0) and isomer shift (•) vs. temperature for complex [Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]-BPh₄.

(or low-) spin states. The large $\Delta E_{\mathbf{Q}}$ values at low temperatures are characteristic of low-spin iron(III) complexes, resulting from a non-spherical electron distribution in the d orbitals. The ΔE_{Ω} gradually approaches the limiting high-spin values with increase of temperature. The asymmetry of the quadrupole split doublet and the broadening of the lines in the Mössbauer spectra at high temperature is visually apparent. It has been shown that such broadening of the Mössbauer absorptions of any paramagnetic sample is possible when the spin-spin and/or spinlattice relaxation times are of the same magnitude as the ⁵⁷Fe nuclear Larmor precessional frequency. As indicated by Blume [25], intermediate paramagnetic relaxation broadens the $|I = \frac{1}{2}, M_{I} = \pm \frac{1}{2}$

 $3 + 3$ quadrupole components more than it $\frac{2}{3}$ roadens the $1+1$ + $1 \rightarrow 13+1$ component. At low emperature when the molecule is largely in the lowspin $S' = 0$ state, the negative-velocity quadrupole component is broader than the positive-velocity component for the iron(III) compound. From this it can be inferred that the principal-axis component of the electric field gradient (EFG) tensor, Vzz, is negative, a result consistent with the d_{xy} ground state, also indicated by EPR g tensor analyses.

It is evident from the Fig. 5 that the linewidth asymmetry at room temperature is opposite to that observed at low temperature. The high-spin asymmetry indicates a positive Vzz . It is not unreasonable that the signs of Vzz are opposite for the low- and high-spin electronic states of the same molecule. This observation is consistent with the data illustrated in Fig. 7. As discussed in theoretical terms by Maeda and Takashima [26] and in some detail by Hendrickson et al. [14], a minimum in the quadrupole splitting can be expected at some population ratio of high to low spin. Since the population ratio at which the minimum occurs is a sensitive fraction of the relative magnitudes of Vzz values, the relative orientations of the EFG tensors of high- and low-spin species, and the extent of deviation of each tensor from nonaxial symmetry, it is very likely that the curve ($\Delta E_{\mathbf{Q}}$ versus temperature) illustrated in Fig. 7 corresponds to this predicted behaviour. The low-spin compounds $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]Y (Y = CI⁻ or NO₃⁻)$ exhibit a single quadrupole-split doublet in the $57Fe$ Mössbauer spectra with a quadrupole splitting of $\Delta E_{\Omega} \simeq 2.75$ mm/s, in excellent agreement with the values reported for various analogues low-spin iron- (III) complexes [22].

Electron Paramagnetic Resonance

In Figs. 8 and 9 are illustrated the X-band EPR spectra at various temperatures for [Fe(3- $CH_3OSPH)_2$]PF₆ and [Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]BPh₄ compounds, respectively. At 298 K, the two EPR signals are observed, one at $g \approx 4.2$ and the other at $g \approx 2.0$. For the simple high-spin low-symmetry (rhombic) ferric complexes an intense signal near 4.3 is usually observed [27]. At low temperature, the EPR signal near 2.0 is well resolved, grows in intensity at the expense of the other signal $g \approx 4.2$ as the temperature is lowered, and is characterized by rhombic symmetry in contrast with axial symmetry in a high-spin state. The difference of the molecular symmetry between the low- and high-spin states plays an important role in the spin-crossover mechanism. In addition, the observation of two distinct high- and low-spin resonances at each temperature indicates that the spinstate interconversion rates in the region of 78-- 300 K are considerably slower than the x-band frequency of $\sim 10^{10}$ s⁻¹.

Fig. 8. X-band EPR spectra of $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]PF₆$ at various temperatures.

Fig. 9. X-band EPR spectra of $[Fe(3-CH_3OSPH)_2]BPh_4$ at various temperatures.

At low temperatures the EPR resonance observed at $g = 2.0$ for the ground-state Kramers doublet is well resolved. The g values for the two iron(III) complexes, listed in Table I, are used to determine the nature of the ground-state Kramers doublet. By the use of the Hamiltonian

TABLE I. Experimental and Calculated^a EPR Parameters

Compound	Еx	g_{γ}	g_{z}				E_1/λ	E_2/λ	E_3/λ
$[Fe(3-CH3OSPH)2]Cl$	-2.172	2.172	-1.982	-0.064	-0.998	0.000	-7.81	3.35	3.38
$[Fe(3-CH3OSPH)2]NO3$	-2.134	2.134	-1.989	-0.052	-0.999	0.000	-9.37	4.17	4.21
$[Fe(3-CH3OSPH)2]PF6]$	-2.157	2.071	-1.987	-0.044	-0.999	$+0.014$	-12.70	-0.44	12.12
$[Fe(3-CH3OSPH)2]BPh4]$	-2.157	2.078	-1.988	-0.046	-0.999	$+0.016$	-12.07	-0.82	11.98

aParameter definitions and methods of calculation are described in the text.

$$
Jf = -\lambda Is + (\mu/9)(3I_2^2 - I)(I+1) + (R/12)(I_2^2 + I_2^2)
$$

acting upon the appropriate one-electron orbitals for the t_{2g} [5] configuration, three secular equations results for the Kramers doublet. In the Hamiltonian, λ is the spin-orbit coupling constant, μ is the axial ligand field distortion parameter, and *R* gauges the rhombic distortion. By considering the matrix elements of a Zeeman Hamiltonian, the secular equations can be solved to give wavefunctions [28]

$$
g_z = -2[A^2 - B^2 + C^2 + k(A^2 - C^2)]
$$

\n
$$
g_x = 2[2AC - B^2 + kB(2^{1/2})(C - A)]
$$

\n
$$
g_y = -2[2AC + B^2 + kB(2^{1/2})(C + A)]
$$

In the above expressions for the components of g tensor, *k* is the orbital reduction factor and the parameters *A, B,* and C are used to characterize the two spinors of the ground-state Kramers doublets $[28]$.

$$
\psi = A|+1^+ \rangle + B(1/2)^{1/2} [|2^- \rangle - |-2^- \rangle] + C| - 1^+ \rangle
$$

$$
\psi = A| -1^- \rangle - B(1/2)^{1/2} [|2^+ \rangle - |-2^+ \rangle] + C|1^- \rangle
$$

The values of *A, B,* and C were determined to solve the above three equations by using the experimental g values and are listed in Table I. In this calculation, the value of k is kept constant at 0.9. In addition, the energies of the three Kramers doublets of ${}^{2}T_{2g}$ origin $(E_1/\lambda, E_2/\lambda, \text{ and } E_3/\lambda)$ were obtained by solving the secular equations; these values are also listed in Table I. The large value of *B (=* 1 .O) indicates that the unpaired electron in the ground Kramers doublet is in essentially a d_{xy} orbital and that the sign of the EFG is negative. Furthermore, if the value of λ is assumed to be 460 cm⁻¹, then the energy separation of the ground and first excited Kramers doublets is $\simeq 5000$ cm⁻¹, a value much larger than thermal energies. This indicates that the low-spin magnetic moment and Mössbauer quadrupole splitting should be essentially temperature independent, and the temperature dependencies of these

quantities can be attributed solely to spin-crossover origins. The experimental g values and calculated parameters for $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]Y (Y = Cl⁻ or$ $NO₃⁻$) are also listed in Table I.

Spin-equilibrium Phenomena in Solution

The compound $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]BPh₄$ is thermochromic in solution, changing from dark purple at room temperature to blue at 78 K in acetone. The colour change of the solution containing the compound is usually interpreted in terms of the change of the electronic ground state of the complex.

The variable temperature electronic spectrum of $[Fe(3-CH₃OSPH)₂]$ BPh₄ in methanol is illustrated in Fig. 10. In general, the spectrum is characterized by a low-energy charge-transfer band at 670 nm (14925 cm⁻¹) which increases in intensity with decreasing temperature, and a higher-energy band at 550 nm (18.180 cm^{-1}) which decreases with decreasing temperature. On the basis of this temperature dependent spectrum pattern and magnetic susceptibility data (*vide supra*), the low-energy band is assigned to the $2T_2$ (S = 1/2) state and the high-energy band to the A_1 $(S = 5/2)$ spin state. The observation of the

Fig. 10. Variable temperature electronic spectrum of [Fe(3- $CH₃OSPH₂BPh₄$ in methanol at (1) 298 K, (2) 270 K, (3) 258 K, (4) 235 K and (5) 225 K.

separate low-spin and high-spin spectral bands indicates that the rate of the spin-interchange crossing process is slower than 10^{15} s⁻¹ in solution. This result suggests that the rapid spin interconversion observed in the solid-state of the compound proceeds fundamentally through an intramolecular mechanism (electronic factor) and is also influenced by the combined electron-phonon excitation.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr R. Bembi at the Department of Chemistry, Roorkee University, Roorkee, for use of the magnetometer. We also wish to thank Dr R. C. Sirivastava at the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi for his interest in this work.

References

- E. Konig, G. Ritter, W. Irler and H. A. Goodwin,J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 102, 4681 (1980).* μ em, Soc., 102, 4001 (1700).
W. Nelson, S. W. K. M. Nelson, S. M. Nel
- *J. Am. Chem. Sot., 105. 1924 (1983).* . Am. Chem. 30c., 10J, 1924 (1983).
I. F. Purcell and M. P. Edwards, Irror, 23, 2624 *i*
- (1984) P. Gutlich,Struct. *Bonding (Berlin), 44, 83* (1981).
- P. B. Merrithew and P. G. Rasmussen, Inorg. *Chem., II,*
- 5 P. B. Merrithew and P. G. Rasmussen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 11, 325 (1972). K. R. Kunze, D. L. Perry and L. J. Wilsoqinorg. *Chem.,*
- *16, 594 (1977).* D. DeFilipo, P. Depalano, A. Diaz, S. Steffe and F. E.
- Trogu, *J. Chem. Sot., Dalton Tkans., 1566* (1977). Trogu, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1566 (1977).
- *Lett., 88, 248 (1982).*
- *9 Y.* Maeda, H. Ohshio and Y. Takashima, *Chem. Lett., 943 (1982). 10* H. Ohshio. Y. Maeda and Y. Takashima. Inore. *Chem..*
- $22, 2004$ (1903). *I. Ohshio, Y. Maeda and Y. Takashima, Inorg.*
- 12 W. D. Federer and D. N. Hendrickson, *Inorg. Chem.,* 23, 1. Maeda, in. 18
- 13 W. D. Federer and D. N. Hendrickson, Inorg. *Chem.. 23,* $\overline{5}$. $\overline{1}$ (1985).
- $30/0(1904)$.
A. D. Times, S. M. Solid Strouse, S. M. Solid Strouse, S. M. Solid Strouse, S. M. Solid Strouse, S. D. M. Soli *1. D. regere*
- \overline{a} 1. D. Himken, C. E. Strouse, S. M. Soltis, S. Daverlo, D. N. Hendrickson, A. M. Abdel-Mawgoud and S. R. Wilson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108, 395 (1986).
- \overline{a} H, D, I IIIIKEH, A. M. Abdel-Mawgodd Hendrickson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 25, 160 (1986).
- $\frac{1700}{1000}$, $\frac{1700}{1000}$, $\frac{1700}{1000}$, $\frac{1700}{1000}$ \mathbf{F} . In Figgis and J. Lewis, in J. Lewis and K. G. Wilkin (eds.), 'Modern Coordination Chemistry', Interscience, New York, 1960, p. 403.
- \overline{a} Inorg. *Chim. Acta, 27, 123 (1978).* Inorg. Chim. Acta, 27, 123 (1978).
- \overline{a} U . G. Sim, E. Simi, R. H. Felly, C. L. Meinii and L. J Wilson, *Inorg. Chem., 20.* 1213 (1981), and refs. therein.
- $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$ Chem., 24, 394 (1903). *Chem., D. N. P. 34, 3947 (1986).*
- 21 W. Sinn, P. G. Sim, E. V. Dose, M. P. 1 weeding. Wilson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 3375 (1978).
- \overline{a} \mathbf{A} . ROCK, Chemical London, 1969, pp. 188-9.
- \overline{a} μ , S. Haudad, M. W. Lynch, W. D. Feder Hendrickson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 20, 123 (1981).
- *R. H. Herberta, A. H. (1944), Physical B + C (Amsterdam), ² I. Petty, E. V. Dose, M. P.*
- 25 , н. негрег апс
. M. Blume, *Phys. Rev. Lett., 14, 96* (1965).
- $\frac{3}{2}$ 1. Blume, *Phys. Rev. Lett., 14*, 96 (1965).
- 19 UNIV. Ser. C, 14, 10/(1983).
A M. M. Wickman, *J. Chem. <i>A. Shirley, A. Shirley, A. Shirley, A.* Shirley, *J. Chem. J. Chem.* 2004. *Univ. Ser. C. 14, 107 (1983).*
- $Mys, 42, 2115 (1903).$ **1. FI. WICKINGII, M. P. 1**
- \ldots M. Golding,